# Lake Granbury
# Watershed Protection Plan

**Prepared for:**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

**Developed by:**

The Lake Granbury Watershed Protection Plan Stakeholders Committee

**Prepared By:**

Brazos River Authority
P.O Box 7555
Waco, TX 76714-7555
T (888) 922-6272
www.brazos.org

*and*

Espey Consultants, Inc.
4801 Southwest Parkway
Parkway 2, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78735
T (512) 326-5659
F (512) 326-5723
www.espeyconsultants.com

July 7, 2010

Funding for the development of this Watershed Protection Plan was provided through a federal Clean Water Act §319(h) to the Brazos River Authority, administered by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**0.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1**
**1.0 INTRODUCTION ..... 4**
1.1 WATER QUALITY GOALS ..... 4
1.2 PURPOSE OF THE WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN ..... 4
1.3 ELEMENTS OF THE WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN ..... 5
1.4 UPDATES AND REVISIONS ..... 6
1.5 SUMMARY OF EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS ..... 6
1.6 PREVIOUS WATER QUALITY EFFORTS ..... 7
**2.0 LAKE GRANBURY WATERSHED OVERVIEW ..... 10**
2.1 GENERAL WATERSHED CONCEPTS ..... 10
2.1.1 Watershed Definition ..... 10
2.1.2 Watersheds and Water Quality ..... 10
2.1.3 Watershed Approach to Improve Water Quality ..... 11
2.2 LAKE GRANBURY WATERSHED INVENTORY ..... 11
2.2.1 Water Resources ..... 11
2.2.2 Soils ..... 13
2.2.3 Climate ..... 15
2.2.4 Ecology, Wildlife and Vegetation ..... 15
2.3 HISTORY OF THE LAKE GRANBURY WATERSHED ..... 18
**3.0 LAKE GRANBURY WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN STEERING COMMITTEE (LGWPPSC) AND PROJECT TEAM ..... 19**
3.1 GOAL ..... 19
3.2 PROJECT TEAM ..... 19
3.3 LGWPPSC ..... 19
3.4 WORKGROUPS ..... 20
3.5 PARTICIPATION BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC ..... 21
3.6 LGWPPSC RESOLUTIONS ..... 21
**4.0 BACTERIA SOURCE IDENTIFICATION ..... 26**
4.1 LINKING WATERSHED TO WATER QUALITY ..... 26
4.2 AMBIENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING ..... 26
4.3 LAND USE ASSESSMENT ..... 30
4.3.1 Land use assessment summary ..... 39
4.4 PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF FECAL POLLUTION SOURCES IMPACTING LAKE GRANBURY AS DETERMINED BY BACTERIAL SOURCE TRACKING ..... 40
4.4.1 ERIC-PCR and RiboPrinting of *E. coli* ..... 41
4.4.2 *Bacteroidales* PCR and quantitative PCR (qPCR) ..... 42
4.4.3 Bacteria Source Tracking Results ..... 42
4.4.4 BST Source Identification Summary ..... 54
4.5 MODELING ASSESSMENT OF FECAL POLLUTION SOURCES IMPACTING LAKE GRANBURY ..... 55
4.6 DATA TO SUPPORT MODELING EFFORTS ..... 56

4.6.1 Cove circulation studies - Dispersion Coefficients ..... 57
4.6.2 Raw Sewage Bacteria Concentration Sampling ..... 58
4.6.3 Septic Tracer Dye Study ..... 59
4.6.4 Adopted Modeling Resolutions ..... 60
4.7 WATERSHED MODEL SOURCE IDENTIFICATION ..... 61
4.7.1 Watershed Delineation ..... 61
4.7.2 Methodology ..... 65
4.7.3 Pollutant Connectivity Factor ..... 67
4.7.4 Results ..... 69
4.8 LAKE/COVE WATER QUALITY MODEL SOURCE IDENTIFICATION ..... 75
4.8.1 Methodology ..... 75
4.8.2 Lake/Cove Model Scenarios ..... 78
4.9 MOST LIKELY BACTERIA SOURCES: COMBINED WATERSHED AND LAKE/COVE MODELING ..... 89
4.9.1 Most Likely Bacteria Sources ..... 89
4.10 SUMMARY OF BACTERIA SOURCE IDENTIFICATION EFFORTS ..... 93
**5.0 MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 95**
5.1 PRIORITY MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 95
5.2 IDENTIFYING ALL POSSIBLE MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 97
5.3 NON-STRUCTURAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 100
5.3.1 Watershed coordinator ..... 100
5.3.2 Public Education Programs ..... 100
5.3.3 Septic System Maintenance and Record-keeping ..... 101
5.3.4 Pet Waste Management ..... 101
5.3.5 Livestock Manure Management ..... 101
5.3.6 Other Watershed Management Approaches ..... 102
5.4 STRUCTURAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 102
5.4.1 Septic Replacement ..... 102
5.4.2 Local Collection Systems ..... 102
5.4.3 Local Centralized Wastewater Treatment ..... 103
5.4.4 Regionalized Wastewater Treatment ..... 103
5.4.5 Cove/Canal Dynamics ..... 104
5.4.6 Cove Circulation Systems ..... 104
5.4.7 Off-site Drainage Bypass ..... 104
5.4.8 Catchment Basin ..... 104
5.4.9 Vegetative Filter Strips ..... 105
5.5 CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING ALTERNATIVES ..... 105
5.5.1 Pollutant Reduction Potential ..... 105
5.5.2 Cost of Management Measures ..... 106
5.5.3 Time to Implement ..... 108
5.5.4 Constraints and Other Considerations ..... 108
5.6 SELECTION OF MANAGEMENT MEASURES ..... 108
5.7 SELECTION OF PRIORITY AREAS ..... 111
5.8 SOURCES OF FUNDING ..... 111
5.9 POTENTIAL FUNDING NEEDS AND SOURCES ..... 113
**6.0 EDUCATION AND OUTREACH ..... 117**_Toc292370497

6.1 ON-GOING OUTREACH AND EDUCATION EFFORTS ..... 117
6.1.1 Project Websites ..... 117
6.1.2 Fact Sheet ..... 117
6.1.3 News Releases ..... 118
6.1.4 Newsletter Articles ..... 119
6.1.5 Watershed Tour ..... 120
6.1.6 Outreach at Local Events ..... 120
6.1.7 Texas Watershed Stewards ..... 120
6.1.8 Targeted Outreach Efforts ..... 120
6.1.9 Printed and Distributed Fact Sheets ..... 122
**7.0 WATERSHED MILESTONES ..... 124**
7.1 MEASURABLE MILESTONES ..... 124
7.2 SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTATION ..... 125
7.2.1 Implementation of education and non-structural management measures ..... 126
7.2.2 Implementation of structural management measures ..... 126
7.2.3 Implementation of structural management measures ..... 127
7.3 IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING PLAN AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT ..... 129
7.3.1 Implementation Monitoring Plan ..... 130
**8.0 REFERENCES ..... 138**

Appendix **A – LGWPPSC Bylaws**
Appendix **B – Land use summary**
Appendix **C – Data collection**
Appendix **D – SELECT modeling**
Appendix **E – Lake/cove modeling**
Appendix **F – Management measure evaluation**
Appendix **G - Potential funding sources**
Appendix **H – Education and Outreach Plan**

## FIGURES

Figure 1. Lake Granbury watershed and 2-mile radius ..... 12
Figure 2. Major soil associations near Lake Granbury ..... 13
Figure 3. Hood and Parker County Individual Soil Types ..... 14
Figure 4. Ambient Water Quality Monitoring Plan Monitoring Sites and Canal Systems Assessed ..... 28
Figure 5. Lake Granbury *E. coli* Assessment Results through September 2009 ..... 29
Figure 6. Land Use Within Two Miles of Lake Granbury 2007 ..... 32
Figure 7. Land Use Between One and Two Mile Radius of Lake Granbury ..... 34
Figure 8. Land Use Between One Mile Radius and Lake Granbury ..... 34
Figure 9. SSURGO Soil Suitability Rating for Septic Absorption Fields. ..... 36
Figure 10. Age of Subdivisions within Two Miles of Lake Granbury. ..... 37
Figure 11. Sewage Disposal Methods within Two Miles of Lake Granbury. ..... 38
Figure 12. Total Head of Livestock in Hood County (NASS). ..... 39
Figure 13. *E. coli* source identification for the Lake Granbury at Highway 377 (11861) site. The *E. coli* long-term geometric mean at this site is low (5 MPN/100 ml). ..... 44
Figure 14. *E. coli* source identification for the Sky Harbor (18015) site. The *E. coli* long-term geometric mean at this site is moderately high (102 MPN/100 ml). ..... 45

Figure 15. *E. coli* source identification for the Waters Edge (18018) site. The *E. coli* long-term geometric mean at this site is low (19 MPN/100 ml).....46
Figure 16. *E. coli* source identification for the Indian Harbor (20215) site. The *E. coli* long-term geometric mean at this site is moderately high (108 MPN/100 ml).....47
Figure 17. *E. coli* source identification for the Port Ridglea East (18038) site. The *E. coli* long-term geometric mean at this site is high (120 MPN/100 ml).....48
Figure 18. Bacteroidales Human marker abundance by site for the six sets of samples.....51
Figure 19. Bacteroidales Ruminant marker abundance by site for the six sets of samples.....51
Figure 20. Bacteroidales Hog marker abundance by site for the six sets of samples. .....52
Figure 21. Location of Lake Granbury with Subwatersheds Delineated using SWAT. .....62
Figure 22. BRA 2007 Landuse and EC Revisions to the BRA 2007 Landuse Classification File. .....63
Figure 23. Landuse Classification of Lake Granbury Watershed (EC/BRA 2007 merged with NLCD 2001). .....64
Figure 24. Location of Microwatersheds in the Greater Lake Granbury Watershed .....65
Figure 25. Spatial and Hydrologic Processes to Determine the Pollutant Connectivity Factor (PCF). .....68
Figure 26. Area Weighted Potential *E. coli* Loading from Dogs.....70
Figure 27. Ranked PCF using Area Weighted Potential *E. coli* Loadings from Dogs .....71
Figure 28. Microwatershed Area Weighted Potential *E. coli* Loading from Deer.....72
Figure 29. Microwatershed Ranked PCF using Area Weighted Potential *E. coli* Loading from Deer.....73
Figure 30. Potential *E. coli* Loading from Wastewater Treatment Plants.....74
Figure 31.Aerial photo and subdivision map with septic index.....77
Figure 32. Segmentation of Oak Trail Shores for Canal Modeling .....77
Figure 33. Bacteria concentration for each segment for continuous direct discharge scenario (1 residence).....79
Figure 34. Bacteria concentration for each segment for continuous discharge with 10% malfunction percentage (1 residence).....80
Figure 35. Bacteria concentration by segment for intermittent, one-time point discharge (1 residence).....80
Figure 36. Bacteria concentration by segment in Oak Trail Shores- Lake Granbury as bacteria source.....81
Figure 37. Hyetograph of a conceptual 6 hours 0.5” rainfall.....83
Figure 38. Micro-Watersheds at Oak Trail Shores .....84
Figure 39. Predicted bacteria concentration from assumed potential non-point sources at Oak Trail Shores canal....85
Figure 40. Residual plot for monitoring station 18009 at Oak Trail Shores.....87
Figure 41. Residual plot for monitoring station 18010 at Oak Trail Shores.....87
Figure 42. Residual plot for monitoring station 18014 at Sky Harbor.....88
Figure 43. Residual plot for monitoring station 18038 at Port Ridglea East .....88
Figure 44. Diagram of Equivalent Annual Cost Inputs .....107
Figure 45. Cost Index .....108
Figure 46. Hypothetical Funding Needs and Firm Stakeholder Commitments for Implementation of Management Measures.....116
Figure 47. Lake Granbury Water Quality Fact Sheet .....118
Figure 48. Hypothetical *E. coli* Loading and Reductions Due to Management Measure Implementation.....130
Figure 49. Hypothetical *E. coli* Concentration Reductions Due to Management Measure Implementation .....131
Figure 50. Hypothetical Funding Needs for Implementation of Management Measure and Hypothetical *E. coli* Concentration Reductions in the Canals of Lake Granbury as Management Measures are Implemented .....132
Figure 51. Interim monitoring sites .....135
Figure 52. Hypothetical adjustments to Interim Monitoring Plan as wastewater collection management measure is implemented in Port Ridglea East. .....136
Figure 53. Implementation monitoring if all phases of WWTP expansion implemented at once. .....137

## TABLES

Table 1. *E. coli* Bacteria concentration (MPN/100mL) by area, through May 2010.....5
Table 2. Total Lake Granbury watershed daily potential bacteria loading by source.....7
Table 3. Relative potential bacteria load contributions within selected priority areas (% by source per area).....7
Table 4. Monthly Temperature, Precipitation and Evaporation of the Lake Granbury Watershed .....17

Table 5. Population Trends for Hood County....18
Table 6. LGWPPSC Resolutions....21
Table 7. Hood County Population Counts....35
Table 8. *E. coli* identification library composition and source identification rates of correct classification (RCC)....43
Table 9. Bacteroidales marker occurrence for Lake Granbury known fecal samples....49
Table 10. Bacteroidales marker occurrence for Lake Granbury known fecal samples, by site....50
Table 11. Bacteroidales marker and *E. coli* occurrence for additional Waters Edge and Port Ridglea East water samples*....53
Table 12. Sources evaluated by lake/cove and watershed modeling approaches....56
Table 13. Model parameter resolutions based on literature values or existing data....57
Table 14. Model parameter resolutions based on field data....57
Table 15. Calculated Dispersion coefficients....58
Table 16. Raw Sewage E. Bacteria Concentration (100,000 MPN/100mL) for the DeCordova Bend WWTP....59
Table 17. *E. coli* concentration monitored on 04/10/2008....60
Table 18. Calculation of *E. coli* Loads from Source Populations....66
Table 19. Weighting Scheme for Sensitivity Analyses of Pollutant, Runoff, and Distance Indicators for determining the Pollutant Connectivity Factor (PCF).....69
Table 20. Dimensions and Volumes for each segment in Oak Trail Shores....78
Table 21. Most Likely Bacteria Sources identified by lake/cove modeling scenarios....89
Table 22. Most Likely Bacteria Sources identified by watershed modeling of potential sources....90
Table 23. Model results to evaluate most likely potential sources by subdivision studied....90
Table 24. Site Specific Non-Structural Management Measures Identified at BMP Workshop....98
Table 25. Site Specific Structural Management Measures Identified at BMP Workshop....99
Table 26. Example matrix of alternative management measures, Oak Trail Shores Subdivision....110
Table 27. Priority areas and bacteria concentrations (geomean in MPN/100mL)....112
Table 28. *E. coli* Reductions Needed by Area to Meet Stakeholder Goals....112
Table 29. Aggregated potential funding program needs....115
Table 30. News Releases Related to Lake Granbury Water Quality....119
Table 31. Newsletter Articles....119
Table 32. Outreach at Local Events....121
Table 33. Targeted Outreach Efforts....122
Table 34. Adaptive Management Review Schedule....124
Table 35. *E. coli* bacteria targets at selected intervals through implementation....125
Table 36. Implementation Schedule for Educational and Non-Structural Management Measures....127
Table 37. Implementation Schedule for Structural Management Measures....129
Table 38. Monitoring Sites, Parameters and Annual Monitoring Frequency for Interim-Baseline Monitoring....134

# Acronyms

| | |
|---|---|
| ac-ft | Acre-feet |
| AMUD | Acton Municipal Utility District |
| AgriLife | Texas AgriLife Research and Extension |
| BRA | Brazos River Authority |
| BMP | Best Management Practices |
| Census | United States Census Bureau |
| CRP | Clean Rivers Program |
| *E. coli* | *Escherichia coli* |
| EPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| HoodCAD | Hood County Appraisal District |
| HCHD | Hood County Health Department |
| IUP | Intended Use Plan |
| LGWPPSC | Lake Granbury Watershed Protection Plan Steering Committee |
| NLCD | National Land Cover Database |
| NPS | Nonpoint Source Pollution |
| NRCS | Natural Resource Conservation Service |
| OSSF | On-site Sewage Facility, septic tanks |
| State | State of Texas |
| SELECT | Spatially Explicit Load Enrichment Calculation Tool |
| SSURGO | Soil Survey Geographic Database |
| STATGO | State Soil Geographic Database |
| TCEQ | Texas Commission on Environmental Quality |
| TPDES | Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| TWDB | Texas Water Development Board |
| USDA | United States Department of Agriculture |
| USGS | United States Geological Survey |
| WPP | Watershed Protection Plan |
| WWTP | Wastewater Treatment Plant |
| 319 | Section 319 of the Clean Water Act |
| 305(b) | Texas Water Quality Report |
| 303(d) | Texas Impaired Water Body List |